This edition includes:

**Surviving in the New Mass Carceral Zone**
Sacha Darke and Chris Garces

**Neoliberal Penology and Criminal Finance in Honduras**
Jon Horne Carter

**Prisoner Self-Governance and Survival in a Nicaraguan City Police Jail**
Julienne Weegels

**Formal and informal controls and punishment: The production of order in the prisons of São Paulo**
Camila Nunes Dias and Fernando Salla

**Movement between and beyond walls: Micropolitics of incitements and variations among São Paulo’s Prisoners’ Movement the ‘PCC’ and the Prison System**
Karina Biondi

**When prisoners make the prison. Self-rule in Venezuelan prisons**
Andrés Antillano

---

**Special Edition**
Informal dynamics of survival in Latin American prisons
## Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Surviving in the New Mass Carceral Zone</td>
<td>Sacha Darke and Chris Garces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Neoliberal Penology and Criminal Finance in Honduras</td>
<td>Jon Horne Carter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Prisoner Self-Governance and Survival in a Nicaraguan City Police Jail</td>
<td>Julienne Weegels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Formal and informal controls and punishment: The production of order in the prisons of São Paulo</td>
<td>Camila Nunes Dias and Fernado Salla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Movement between and beyond walls: Micropolitics of incitements and variations among São Paulo’s Prisoners’ Movement the ‘PCC’ and the Prison System</td>
<td>Karina Biondi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>When prisoners make the prison. Self-rule in Venezuelan prisons</td>
<td>Andrés Antillano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>From The Panopticon To The Anti-Panopticon: The ‘Art Of Government’ In The Prison Of San Pedro (La Paz, Bolivia)</td>
<td>Francesca Cerbini</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Purpose and editorial arrangements

The *Prison Service Journal* is a peer reviewed journal published by HM Prison Service of England and Wales. Its purpose is to promote discussion on issues related to the work of the Prison Service, the wider criminal justice system and associated fields. It aims to present reliable information and a range of views about these issues.

The editor is responsible for the style and content of each edition, and for managing production and the Journal’s budget. The editor is supported by an editorial board — a body of volunteers all of whom have worked for the Prison Service in various capacities. The editorial board considers all articles submitted and decides the outline and composition of each edition, although the editor retains an over-riding discretion in deciding which articles are published and their precise length and language.

From May 2011 each edition is available electronically from the website of the Centre for Crime and Justice Studies. This is available at [http://www.crimeandjustice.org.uk/psj.html](http://www.crimeandjustice.org.uk/psj.html)

### Circulation of editions and submission of articles

Six editions of the Journal, printed at HMP Leyhill, are published each year with a circulation of approximately 6,500 per edition. The editor welcomes articles which should be up to c.4,000 words and submitted by email to jamie.bennett@hmps.gsi.gov.uk or as hard copy and on disk to Prison Service Journal, c/o Print Shop Manager, HMP Leyhill, Wotton-under-Edge, Gloucestershire, GL12 8BH. All other correspondence may also be sent to the Editor at this address or to jamie.bennett@hmps.gsi.gov.uk.

Footnotes are preferred to endnotes, which must be kept to a minimum. All articles are subject to peer review and may be altered in accordance with house style. No payments are made for articles.

### Subscriptions

The Journal is distributed to every Prison Service establishment in England and Wales. Individual members of staff need not subscribe and can obtain free copies from their establishment. Subscriptions are invited from other individuals and bodies outside the Prison Service at the following rates, which include postage:

**United Kingdom**
- single copy: £7.00
- one year’s subscription: £40.00 (organisations or individuals in their professional capacity) / £35.00 (private individuals)

**Overseas**
- single copy: £10.00
- one year’s subscription: £50.00 (organisations or individuals in their professional capacity) / £40.00 (private individuals)

Orders for subscriptions (and back copies which are charged at the single copy rate) should be sent with a cheque made payable to ‘HM Prison Service’ to Prison Service Journal, c/o Print Shop Manager, HMP Leyhill, Wotton-under-Edge, Gloucestershire, GL12 8BB.
January 2017

35  The Blind Panopticon: Prisoners’ subversion of the prison in Ecuador, 1875–2014
Jorge Núñez and Jennifer Fleetwood

40  An Insider’s View of Prison Reform in Ecuador
Pieter Tritton with Jennifer Fleetwood

45  ‘It was already in the ghetto’: Rap, religion and crime in the prison Interview with Djalma Oliveira Rios, aka ‘Cascão’
Karina Biondi

48  Hearing the voices of Brazilian correction officers
Maria Lucia Karam and Hildebrando Ribeiro Saraiva Jr.

51  The Policy Challenges of Informal Prisoner Governance
Fiona Macaulay

57  Advancing Security and Human Rights by the Controlled Organisation of Inmates
Mirte Postema, James Cavallaro and Ruhan Nagra

Jorge Núñez, University of California, Davis, and Jennifer Fleetwood, University of Leicester.

Pieter Tritton, with Jennifer Fleetwood, University of Leicester.

Karina Biondi Federal University of São Carlos.

Maria Lucia Karam is from the Carioca Institute of Criminology, and Hildebrando Ribeiro Saraiva Jr. is from the Public Safety Council of the State of Rio de Janeiro.

Fiona Macaulay is Senior Lecturer in the Division of Peace Studies and International Development, University of Bradford.

Mirte Postema is Fellow for Human Rights, Criminal Justice and Prison Reform in the Americas at the Stanford Human Rights Center. James Cavallaro is a Professor of Law and Founding Director of the Stanford Human Rights Center and the Stanford International Human Rights Clinic. Ruhan Nagra is a third year student at Stanford Law School.

Cover photograph: Ecuadorian President Rafael Correa, speaking with prisoner leaders at the Penitenciaría del Litoral in Guayaquil, Ecuador. Undated photo (circa 2007-9) courtesy of Vistazo magazine.

The Editorial Board wishes to make clear that the views expressed by contributors are their own and do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Prison Service.

Printed at HMP Leyhill on 115 gsm and 200 gsm Galerie Art Satin
Set in 10 on 13 pt Frutiger Light
Circulation approx 6,000
ISSN 0300-3558
© Crown Copyright 2017
The Blind Panopticon: Prisoners’ subversion of the prison in Ecuador, 1875–2014

Jorge Núñez, University of California, Davis, and Jennifer Fleetwood, University of Leicester.

Introduction

In August 2014 a film crew stumbled across a century-old bureaucratic archive in the main men’s prison in Quito, Ecuador. Roof-high stacks of paperwork crammed into a nineteenth-century vault. This decaying archive recorded the daily life of Ecuador’s oldest penitentiary, Centro de Rehabilitación de Varones, Numero Uno Quito (CRSVQ1); originally titled Penal García Moreno after the President who oversaw its construction. Whilst not an exact rendering of Bentham’s original Panopticon, Garcia Moreno Prison was an attempt to enact its notions of surveillance, discipline, and control. Five pavilions radiate out from a central hallway and observation tower; its star shape allowing a guard to see each cell door from this central point. This prison was decommissioned in 2014 and replaced by a US style maximum-security prison complex several kilometres from Quito (see Tritton, this edition).

This article draws on extensive archival research conducted for the documentary Blind Panopticon tasked by Ecuador’s Ministry of Justice shortly after the prison’s closure, and in depth ethnographic fieldwork undertaken by both authors while the prison functioned, between 2005–2007. In addition to an extensive array of official records hoarded during 139 years of prison functioning, countless everyday items left behind by prisoners transferred without notice also became part of this archive. In addition, the prison itself, its very materiality, is itself an archive of events inscribed on its walls: its paintings, its smells, and its silences.

Here we describe how prisoners subverted the Panopticon of Quito during its existence. Bentham’s utilitarian spirit lived on in the Panopticon till the end, not through surveillance mechanisms but rather through prisoners’ economic survival. In doing so, we challenge the notion of the self-governing prisoner as the product of neoliberalism.

The Panopticon of Quito

Jeremy Bentham described the Panopticon, his idea of a perfect prison, as a circular building of cells surrounding a watchtower with windows covered by blinds. From this viewpoint, a single guard could oversee the prisoners’ daily life without being seen, making it impossible for inmates to know whether someone was observing them or not. Here, it was not so much surveillance but the feeling of being under surveillance that was important. As Michel Foucault explains, panoptic architecture projects its surveillance model onto society so as to discipline its population through observation, self-control, and isolation.

The Panopticon is therefore much more than a type of architecture; it is a power relationship through which the omnipresent gaze of the watcher modifies behaviours, corrects habits, and reconditions instincts. No true Panopticon was ever built, but several Panopticon-type buildings can be found in Latin America. In Cuba the now defunct Presidio Modelo (built in the 1920s) is the closest to a true Panopticon. In Colombia a cruciform: ‘Panoptico’ was begun in 1874 (now the National Museum), the same year the Panopticon of Quito was completed after a five-year construction period, coinciding with Conservative Catholic president Gabriel García Moreno’s second term in office. The Quito Panopticon therefore reflects the global spread of 19th century European ideas about modernity and discipline, and for Ecuador it represents the very first attempt at modernising a nation profoundly shaped by Spanish colonialism.

1. Jorge Núñez, who is a co-author in this paper, was the film crew’s research coordinator and documentary scriptwriter. The other research team members were Lorena Cisneros, Boris Idrorro, and Juan Andrés Suárez.
The panoptic societal model corresponds to the mentality of an epoch — the European society of industrialisation. It was these ideas of 'progress' that President García Moreno had in mind for Ecuador. His penitentiary embodied his views about the Ecuadorian nation state with cruel clairvoyance: combining rationality and brutality in the service of a modernity that was Catholic, obsessed with Europe, imposed with whips and bullets, discipline, and blood. The construction of the Panopticon was the final stage of his personal and political project, blending enlightenment philosophy and despotism. Even after its name was changed to a 'social rehabilitation centre', Quitoños continued calling it García Moreno prison, reflecting the perpetuation of the ex-president’s values and fantasies, long after he was assassinated.

‘Blinding’ the Panopticon

It is absolutely true that prisoners stubbornly resisted the new disciplinary mechanism in the prison; it is absolutely correct that the actual functioning of the prisons... was a witches’ brew compared to the beautiful, Benthamite machine. (Foucault, Power)³

The Panopticon of Quito never fulfilled Bentham’s notions of an impeccable control machine. It was inaugurated in 1875 with only 71 inmates. Because the capital city did not have enough prisoners at the time, authorities of neighbouring prisons were summoned to hand over inmates in order to occupy its 270 cells. In stark contrast, at its closure in 2014 the prison was operating in many times. The archive contains hundreds of requests by inmates asking to bring in construction materials. Each physical intervention responded to systemic failings, from not having enough beds due to overcrowding, to installing kitchens in cells to deal with food shortages, to adapting facilities to offer medical care. From the early 1900s the prison record shows that high-ranking government authorities were deeply invested in running and refurbishing the Panopticon. Yet, from the 1970s onwards, the archive reveals that inmates became responsible for most repairs and renovations. The image below is a prison diary entry from April 19th 1905 recording a request from on drugs, which, like the Panopticon, reflects politics and priorities forged in an entirely different national context; politics fundamentally underpinned by neoliberal doxa, in which crime and punishment can be understood as a matter of supply and demand.⁶ In 2003, Ecuador signed a bilateral agreement with the United States of America committing to drug war policies. Performance indicators included an increase in the number of persons detained for drug trafficking.⁷ A similar agreement was signed in 2005 making clear Ecuador's commitment to increasing arrests of drug offenders.⁸ By the end of the 2000’s, the prison population had nearly doubled; by 2007, one in three prisoners was incarcerated for drugs offences.⁹

Overcrowding put tremendous strain on the aging Panopticon. In contrast to Bentham’s orderly vision, by 2014 the sewers had collapsed; water stoppages and electric cuts were regular events. When the Panopticon’s archive was discovered in the dome of its watchtower, ironically, its windows were boarded up from the inside. No longer a site of surveillance and discipline, the watchtower was instead used as a store-cupboard, holding decades upon decades worth of bureaucratic paperwork: the Panopticon was, quite literally, blind.

The Panopticon of Quito never fulfilled Bentham’s notions of an impeccable control machine.

Physical reconstruction: Inmates as Rebuilders

During 139 years of functioning the Panopticon of Quito was reconstructed, adapted and remodelled many times. The archive contains hundreds of requests by inmates asking to bring in construction materials. Each physical intervention responded to systemic failings, from not having enough beds due to overcrowding, to installing kitchens in cells to deal with food shortages, to adapting facilities to offer medical care. From the early 1900s the prison record shows that high-ranking government authorities were deeply invested in running and refurbishing the Panopticon. Yet, from the 1970s onwards, the archive reveals that inmates became responsible for most repairs and renovations. The image below is a prison diary entry from April 19th 1905 recording a request from

Ecuador’s former Vice-president to convert an occupied cell into a make-shift treatment office for alcoholics and homeless. The entry begins as follow: ‘Sir Province Governor: In response to the order given by the Republic Vice-president, who is currently in charge of Executive Power by fiat No. 333, the Department for the treatment of chronic alcoholics and homeless is ready.’

Inmate-led prison reconstruction reflects a particular relationship between the building and its inhabitants. Rather than being spaces of surveillance, cells were literally privatised. By the 2000s, cells were privately owned — bought and sold in a commercial market administrated by inmates democratically elected by their peers. This property market (and in fact, the widespread commercialisation of space in prison) was run with the consent of prison authorities. Rather than disciplinary classifications according to offence, status (remand versus convicted), time served, or age, inmates organised themselves into pavilions depending on how much they could afford to pay. Inmates’ regular contributions to their pavilions paid for extensive communal repairs and renovation of the wing, ranging from repainting, and plastering, to the construction of a gym, TV watching space, a toilet block, basketball hoops in the yard, and so on.

Cells were remodelled and redesigned, limited only by inmates’ finances and imaginations. Some were richly decorated, especially those belonging to international drug traffickers. One had a mirrored wall to give an increased sense of space and was tiled throughout. A water heater had been installed; the kitchen was well equipped with a microwave and blender. A television and games station were suspended in a corner above the bunks. All in a 2m by 2.5 metre space! Few cells were so richly decorated but all had been subtly remodelled, depending on the owners’ style, with everything from photos of nude women, religious iconography, paintings, graffiti, photographs of loved ones, national flags and more. There was not a cell without a secret hiding place. Even after decommissioning, they continued to keep their secrets: hosting drugs, weapons, photos, mobile phones and chargers.

The physical reconstruction of Garcia Moreno’s prison by inmates converted the Panopticon of Quito into a social model far from surveillance, self-discipline, and control. It moved Ecuador’s imprisonment’s centre of gravity from bureaucracy towards a growing informal economy that expanded well beyond the carceral system. During its 139 years the Panopticon of Quito went from the European surveillance society to precarious neoliberalism, from an institution meant to discipline an emerging labour force, to one in charge with imprisoning the impoverished and unemployed under the war on drugs. And yet, all this painstaking renovation and collective effort carried out by prisoners gave them back a sense of purpose in life and the vital illusion of a meaningful existence.

Subverting work as rehabilitation

No man of ripe years and of sound mind, acting freely, and with his eyes open, ought to be hindered, which a view to his advantage, from making such bargain, in the way of obtaining money, as he thinks fit: not (what is necessary consequence) anybody hindered from supplying him, upon any terms he thinks proper to accede to. (Bentham 1843: Letter 1)\(^\text{11}\)

Bentham envisioned the Panopticon’s prisoners in stark contrast to functioning members or society. While a free citizen must not ‘be hindered… in the way of obtaining money, as he thinks fit’ (see the Bentham’s quote above about credit), a prisoner in the panoptical must work for the sake of discipline rather than profit. And yet, Bentham’s imagining of the Panopticon is still underpinned by a utilitarian approach to prisoner’s work.\(^\text{12}\) Bentham proposes that inmates not be given any work, but rather those most likely to be ‘most for his

---

Echoing the marketisation of cells, Garcia Moreno Prison housed a number of small businesses, owned and run by inmates. Some cells were converted into small shops, selling everything from tobacco to eggs, hot chocolate to batteries. When Quito’s Panopticon was decommissioned in 2014, its corridors were filled with fizzy drinks machines, and telephone cabins (the archive records that inmates sought and were granted special permission to sell phone cards), as well as small food stalls, selling coffee, tea, hamburgers and soda. Some larger food stalls sold three-course lunches. In addition, many were micro entrepreneurs. Documents in the archive, from the early 70s to its closure in 2014, tell of a long list of jobs and businesses: Grocer, laundryman, pool table owner, messenger to the street and office, food seller, picture painter, electrical technician, seafood salesman, artisan and craftsman, cook, deliveryman, secretary of the evangelical church, librarian, vendor of phone cards, cigarette seller, shopkeeper, hairdresser, language teacher, gym instructor, cell painter, kebab seller, event organiser, sports coordinator, confectioner, incense salesman, porter, toilet manager, watermelon segment seller, elementary school teacher, herbal tea seller, restaurant owner, etcetera, etcetera. Although the hurly burly of industry has little in common with the quiet notion of solitude and discipline that Bentham intended, he might have approved of the sheer scale of industry.

Holding all this economic activity together, the Panopticon of Quito evolved into a financial laboratory of everyday debts and tax credits. Everything became a monetary exchange; everything was bought and sold on credit. There was no barter: debt was king. Over the course of 139 years the inmates converted their prison into an inverted stock exchange. Like any other financial market-like assemblage, the Panopticon gave the illusion of an endless cash flow, but it was inverted because the illusion depended on slowing down monetary circulation instead of speeding it up. Money passed from hand-to-hand in slow motion as though mimicking prison time, and in doing so, the

---

13. Ibid. Letter X.
16. Both archival and ethnographic data suggest that even the most mundane material exchanges in Garcia Moreno prison were highly mediated by a complex credit/debt system known as ‘refile.’ See: Núñez, J. (2005) Cacería de Brujos: Drogas ilegales y Sistema de Cárcel en Ecuador, Maestria ABYA-YALA Publicaciones and FLACSO sede Ecuador, Quito.
17. The slowness of Garcia Moreno prison’s financial flow functioned like a hire-purchase system (rent-to-buy), in which a prisoner could enjoy the use of a commodity that paid in regular instalments, but during the repayment period ownership remained with the seller. This credit system created a multiplicity of debt tallies that outlived the actual exchange of items.
Panopticon allowed its inhabitants to extract value from the neoliberal model of mass incarceration. In its archive, the Panopticon of Quito synthesises the dialectics of penal bureaucracy and the free-market. The image below is an official request by a prisoner to bring in sticks to sell ‘pinchos’ (meat skewers). The application reassures the prison warden that the requestor takes full responsibility for the everyday use of long pieces of wood assuring him that they ‘will not constitute in any manner a weapon that may endanger others.’ Similar requests abound in the prison archives:

Paperwork trails reveal the long, convoluted institutional process of starting a business. Firstly, prisoners needed authorisation from the committee of inmates. Next, an official request was made to prison administrators in which inmates had to declare their will to rehabilitate through self-employment and demonstrate the need to support their family by it. This entrepreneurial rhetoric was accompanied by a series of protocol phrases aimed to show remorse for the crime committed. Then the request entered the bureaucratic labyrinth of the prison, and was judged by prison authorities on the basis of institutional prejudice: a request to open a laundry is denied because the institution considers that this right is reserved for homosexuals!; an orange seller is denied access to a pavilion to avoid fights with his enemies.

This market-prison did not produce workers, at least not in the sense given in Europe or North America, but a precariat of entrepreneurs. Inmates’ work was risky — people set up a business in the hope to get paid (but debt was equally likely). The logic was not completely cutthroat. Inmate entrepreneurs committed to a monthly payment to the medical emergency fund for the homeless in prison. The medical fund functioned as a charity run by inmates and prison bureaucrats who allocated money on a first-come, first-served basis. Like the physical remodelling of this prison, this adaption responded to institutional failings.

Conclusion

The Panopticon works through surveillance: being potentially overseen at any moment, inmates were supposed to self-discipline. Whilst the Garcia Moreno Prison imported European enlightenment ideas about the prison system to Ecuador, these notions were never fully realised. In Quito, inmates ‘blinded’ the Panopticon from within. They not only rebuilt Garcia Moreno’s infrastructure, but also subverted its logic. Our ethnographic and archival research has just begun to explore the historical depth of this prison archive and its postcolonial overlaps and divergences with Bentham’s enlightenment philosophy. Bentham’s utilitarianism was echoed in Quito’s Panopticon, not because of his surveillance model but because of his stance regarding interest rates and debt.

Garcia Moreno’s prison archive constitutes a rich field site to historicise and critically study the self-governing prisoner and its alternative modern realisations. The Panopticon of Quito never succeeded in breeding a disciplined labour force. The inmates won that battle the very moment they blinded the Panopticon and shifted the centre of gravity from surveillance to survival. Our analysis illustrates that the prison was shaped by the ideology of the time: first by utilitarianism, and later by neoliberalism. The notion of the self-governing, entrepreneurial prisoner is far from contemporary but arguably has historical roots in Bentham’s utilitarianism. Here we find more continuity than change. While Bentham imagined the prison through the lens of industrialisation; in Ecuador it took on quite a different character. The resulting prison model became a cultural machine of precariousness that forced inmates to work, struggle, and survive or face destitution. Prison entrepreneurship can neither be considered clearly a form of resistance to the prison regime (there being none), nor as compliance with it. As the archive shows, prisoners had to re-frame survival as rehabilitation, presenting themselves as willing participants in the corruption of Ecuador’s prison system. At the same time as entrepreneurship bowed to the prison authorities, it subverted prison discipline.

---

19. Currently the Ecuadorian Ministry of Justice has custody of the archive rescued from Garcia Moreno prison. Government authorities have said repeatedly the archive will be made available to the public, but, in our knowledge, nothing has been done on this regard yet.